

1.

United States Patent and Trademark Office

AN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/652,579	08/31/2000	Vishnu K. Agarwal	98-0616.13	4026	
27076	7590 10/08/2002	!			
_ -	& WHITNEY LLP	EXAMINER			
SUITE 3400		EVERHART, CARIDAD			
1420 FIFTH SEATTLE, '		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·		2825			
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · /		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/652,579			AGARWAL, VISHNU K.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
	Jijjoo / 1011011 Carriery	Caridad M. E	verhart	2825				
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				ldress			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE M - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, by within the statutor will apply and will ex	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days (pire SIX (6) MONTHS from	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o	ly. communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is no						
3)	and the merits is							
	on of Claims							
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 47,48 and 76-79 is/are pending in the	he application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>47,48 and 76-79</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 76-79 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is. a) □ approved b) □ disapproved b) disapproved								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	- Annication No.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 11 2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
*	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachme								
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s) <u>10,11,13</u> .	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper al Patent Application	No(s) PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/652,579

Art Unit: 2825

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 47,48,75-79 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: diborane, phosphine, methylsiliane, hexamethyldisilazane, HCI, boron trichloride.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Claims 76-79 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising diborane, posphine, methylsilane, hexamethyldisilane, hexamethyldisilazane, HCI, boron trichloride. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

Application/Control Number: 09/652,579

Art Unit: 2825

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Attorney Paul F. Rusyn on 10-3-02 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of the group of diborane, phosphine, HCI, and boron trichloride. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 76-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation "to a selection consisting of " is not a clear statement of the listing from which the selection is to be made, in that "consisting of" would seem to require all of the members of the list. Perhaps "a gas consisting of one of diborane, phosphine... and combinations thereof" or similar language would be more consistent.

Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim does not seem to make clear that the step of exposing the wafer to a reducing environment is distinct from the step of exposing the wafer to N2/H2,

Application/Control Lumber: 09/652,579

Art Unit: 2825

because a step of exposing a wafer to N2/H2 plasma would include exposing the wafer to a reducing environment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application
as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29,
2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this
application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the
AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 47, 48, and 75-79 aare rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mak, et al. ("Mak")(US 6,162,715).

Mak discloses a process comprising the steps of exposing the wafer to an environment which may be N2/H2(col. 10, lines 42-48), depositing a first and second conductive layer(col. 10, lines 65-67), and wherein the exposing to N2/H2 takes place before depositing a second conductive layer(col. 15, lines 28-32). Silane gas exposure is included (col. 13, lines 37-41).

Application/Control number: 09/652,579

Art Unit: 2825

With respect to the exposing to diborane, there is a step before the deposition of the second conductive layer in which the first conductive layer is exposed to diborane(col. 13, lines 35-45). These steps are performed in situ(col. 10, lines 44-52).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 703-308-3455. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 703-308-1323. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DARRELO EVERITAT PRIMARY EVAMINEA

C. Everhart October 4, 2002